Vascular endothelial growth factor-C-mediated lymphangiogenesis promotes tumour metastasis
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Metastasis is a frequent and lethal complication of cancer. Vascular endothelial growth factor-C (VEGF-C) is a recently described lymphangiogenic factor. Increased expression of VEGF-C in primary tumours correlates with dissemination of tumour cells to regional lymph nodes. However, a direct role for VEGF-C in tumour lymphangiogenesis and subsequent metastasis has yet to be demonstrated. Here we report the establishment of transgenic mice in which VEGF-C expression, driven by the rat insulin promoter (Rip), is targeted to β-cells of the endocrine pancreas. In contrast to wild-type mice, which lack peri-insular lymphatics, RipVEGF-C transgenics develop an extensive network of lymphatics around the islets of Langerhans. These mice were crossed with Rip1Tag2 mice, which develop pancreatic β-cell tumours that are neither lymphangiogenic nor metastatic. Double-transgenic mice formed tumours surrounded by well developed lymphatics, which frequently contained tumour cell masses of β-cell origin. These mice frequently developed pancreatic lymph node metastases. Our findings demonstrate that VEGF-C-induced lymphangiogenesis mediates tumour cell dissemination and the formation of lymph node metastases.
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Introduction

The metastatic spread of tumour cells is responsible for the majority of cancer deaths. Tumour cell dissemination is mediated by a number of mechanisms, including local tissue invasion, lymphatic spread, haematogenous spread, or direct seeding of body cavities or surfaces. Clinical and pathological observations have long suggested that, for many tumours, the most common pathway of initial dissemination is via lymphatics, with patterns of spread via afferent vessels following routes of natural drainage (reviewed by Fidler, 1997; Cotran et al., 1999; Sleeman, 2000). However, the lymphatic system has traditionally been overshadowed by the greater emphasis placed on the blood vascular system. This has been due in part to the absence of suitable markers that distinguish lymphatic from blood vascular endothelium, and to the lack of identification of lymphatic-specific growth factors.

In recent years, these limitations have been relieved by the discovery of a small number of potential lymphatic-specific markers (reviewed by Jackson, 2001). These include: LYVE-1, a lymph endothelial receptor for the extracellular matrix/lymphatic fluid mucopolysaccharide hyaluronan (Banerji et al., 1999); Prox-1, a homeobox gene product involved in regulating early lymphatic development (Wigle and Oliver, 1999); podoplanin, a glomerular podocyte membrane mucoprotein (Breiteneder-Geleff et al., 1999); and the vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-3 (VEGFR-3), a transmembrane tyrosine kinase receptor for the lymphatic endothelial growth factors vascular endothelial growth factor-C (VEGF-C) and VEGF-D (reviewed in Veikkola et al., 2000). Targeted inactivation of the VEGFR-3 gene has revealed that it also plays an important role in the development of the early blood vascular system, prior to the emergence of lymphatic vessels (Dumont et al., 1998).

VEGF-C, the first ligand to be discovered for VEGFR-3 (Joukov et al., 1996; Lee et al., 1996), is a member of the VEGF family of polypeptide growth factors, which comprises VEGF-A, -B, -C, -D and orf virus VEGFs (or VEGF-E) (reviewed in Eriksson and Alitalo, 1999; Ferrara, 1999). VEGF-C is produced in a pre-pro-peptide form that is proteolytically processed to a mature homodimer of ~40 kDa (Joukov et al., 1997). Proteolytic processing increases the affinity of VEGF-C for VEGFR-3 some 400-fold, and also enables it to bind to and activate VEGFR-2 (Joukov et al., 1997). Based on its expression profile and its binding to VEGFR-3, VEGF-C has been implicated in the development of the lymphatic system (Kukk et al., 1996; Lymboussaki et al., 1999). In addition, transgenic overexpression of VEGF-C using the keratin 14 promoter induces lymphatic vessel enlargement/dilatation in the skin (Jeltsch et al., 1997), and recombinant VEGF-C induces lymphangiogenesis in the chick chorioallantoic membrane (Oh et al., 1997). The capacity of VEGF-C to bind to and activate VEGFR-2 may partially explain why it also stimulates angiogenesis under certain experimental conditions (Cao et al., 1998; Witzenbichler et al., 1998).

In contrast to VEGF-A, whose crucial role in tumour angiogenesis is well established (Ferrara, 1999), very little is known about the function of VEGF-C in tumour
formation and/or progression. Nonetheless, a correlation between VEGF-C expression, tumour lymphangiogenesis and the formation of metastases in regional lymph nodes has recently been described. Thus, levels of VEGF-C in primary tumours are significantly correlated with lymph node metastases in a variety of cancers, including thyroid, prostate, gastric, colorectal and lung (Bunone et al., 1999; Tsurusaki et al., 1999; Yonemura et al., 1999; Akagi et al., 2000; Niki et al., 2000; Ohta et al., 2000). One study has described a strong correlation between lymphatic vessel density and VEGF-C expression (Ohta et al., 1999).

However, in this study, no correlation was observed between lymphatic vessel density and lymph node metastases. Despite the continuing accumulation of correlative clinical data, a functional role for VEGF-C in tumour lymphangiogenesis and/or lymphatic enlargement, and its role in tumour cell dissemination, have yet to be demonstrated directly.

In order to test the hypothesis that VEGF-C-induced lymphangiogenesis can promote tumour metastasis, we generated transgenic mouse lines in which VEGF-C expression, driven by the rat insulin promoter, is targeted to β-cells of the islets of Langerhans, and tested their capacity to form metastases by crossing them with the Rip1Tag2 transgenic line, a transgenic mouse model of non-metastatic β-cell carcinogenesis (Hanahan, 1985).

Results

A full-length human VEGF-C cDNA was cloned between the rat insulin II gene promoter (Rip) and the SV40 small T antigen intron and polyadenylation signal (Figure 1A). Two founder (F0) mice (designated nos 23 and 24) were identified, which were capable of germline transmission. RipVEGF-C transgenic mice were viable, similar in size to wild-type littersmates, normoglycaemic and fertile. Mice derived from F0 no. 23 had a single copy of the transgene, whereas those derived from F0 no. 24 had four to six copies integrated in a head-to-tail array (Figure 1B and data not shown). Pancreas-specific expression of the VEGF-C transgene was confirmed by reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) screening of several organs, using oligonucleotide primers designed to amplify VEGF-C cDNA of human but not of mouse origin (Figure 1C and data not shown). In contrast to wild-type littersmates (Figure 2A), immunohistochemical analysis of the pancreata of RipVEGF-C transgenic mice revealed strong VEGF-C staining in the majority of islet cells (Figure 2B), as would be expected from the relative abundance of insulin-expressing β-cells in islets (~80%).

Striking morphological differences were observed between transgenic and wild-type pancreata. Clearly demarcated spaces, lined by a single layer of flattened cells, which frequently contained lymphocytes rather than red blood cells, were observed around the majority of transgenic islets (Figure 3C and E). These morphological features were observed in all RipVEGF-C mice killed between E15.5 and 18 months. These structures, which were never observed around islets of wild-type mice (Figure 3A), were identified as lymphatic vessels on the basis of three separate criteria. First, they were lined by endothelial cells that were immunoreactive for VEGFR-3 (Figure 2C). Weak VEGFR-3 immunostaining was also observed within some islets of both wild-type and transgenic mice (Figure 2C and data not shown). Secondly, as revealed by transmission electron microscopy (TEM), the basement membrane of these vessels was either absent or discontinuous, and the endothelial cells were devoid of the characteristic fenestrations found in contiguous capillary blood vessels—both hallmarks of lymphatic endothelium (Figure 4A and B). Thirdly, as revealed by immunohistochemistry and immuno-EM, they stained with an antibody to the novel lymphatic endothelial marker LYVE-1 (Banerji et al., 1999; Prevo et al., 2001) on both their luminal and abluminal surfaces (Figure 3D, F and 4C), similar to lymphatic vessels in normal wild-type mouse tissues (Prevo et al., 2001).
A quantitative analysis of lymphatic vessel density in RipVEGF-C mice, based on LYVE-1 immunoreactivity, revealed that 98% of islets were in intimate association with LYVE-1-positive lymphatics (Figures 3D, F and 5; Table I), which contrasts with the finding that only 4% of islets had closely apposed lymphatics in wild-type littermates (Figures 3B and 5; Table I). In some RipVEGF-C mice, peri-insular lymphatics were particularly well developed, and extended between the lobules of exocrine acini (Figure 3F). Previous studies in the rodent pancreas have revealed the absence of an association between lymphatics and islets of Langerhans (Bertelli et al., 1993; Navas et al., 1995; Ji and Kato, 1997; reviewed by O’Morchoe, 1997), which is consistent with our LYVE-1 and VEGFR-3 immunohistochemical data. From these data we conclude that RipVEGF-C mice form lymphatics de novo (lymphangiogenesis). In contrast, mice expressing VEGF-C in the skin under the control of the keratin 14 promoter display an increase both in the size and number of lymphatic vessels (Jeltsch et al., 1997; T.Veikkola, unpublished data).

Vascular density and the percentage islet volume occupied by blood vessels were determined by immunohistochemistry with the vascular endothelial-specific antibody MECA-32 (Hallmann et al., 1995). In contrast to LYVE-1, which stains lymphatic vessels (Banerji et al., 1999), MECA-32 only stains blood vessels (Figure 6A and B). No differences were observed between wild-type and RipVEGF-C transgenic islets (Table I). Similar results were obtained using the pan-endothelial marker CD31 (data not shown), indicating that forced expression of VEGF-C did not promote vascular angiogenesis.

No alterations were observed in the normal proportion or spatial distribution of α, β, δ or PP cells in the islets of RipVEGF-C mice when compared with wild-type littermates, as assessed by immunohistochemical analysis for glucagon, insulin, somatostatin and pancreatic polypeptide, respectively (data not shown).

We thus conclude that increased expression of VEGF-C in the β-cells of RipVEGF-C transgenic mice specifically promotes lymphangiogenesis, which is observed around but not within the islets of Langerhans, and which does not affect islet architecture or cellular composition.

To assess the role of VEGF-C-induced lymphangiogenesis in tumour metastasis, we crossed RipVEGF-C mice with Rip1Tag2 mice, a well characterized transgenic model of non-metastatic β-cell carcinogenesis (Hanahan, 1985; Perl et al., 1998, 1999) that displays morphological features typical of human pancreatic β-cell tumours (Holm et al., 1988). Of note, β-cell tumours that develop in Rip1Tag2 mice are capable of local invasion, but do not induce extensive lymphangiogenesis (Figure 7A and E). When RipVEGF-C mice were crossed with Rip1Tag2 mice, the resulting double-transgenic animals displayed a modest but significant increase in tumour incidence (defined as the number of tumours per pancreas having a size >0.5 mm) compared with single Rip1Tag2 transgenic animals, yet neither tumour volume (expressed in cubic millimetres) nor the ratio of benign adenoma to invasive carcinoma was altered (Table II). RipVEGF-C × Rip1Tag2 double-transgenic tumours consisted almost exclusively of insulin-producing β cells (data not shown), similar to what has been reported for Rip1Tag2 single-transgenic tumours (Hanahan, 1985). Detailed immunohistochemical analyses revealed heterogeneous VEGF-C immunoreactivity in the majority of double-transgenic tumour cells, whereas VEGF-C immunoreactivity was absent from Rip1Tag2 tumours (Figure 7C and D). Furthermore, there was an increase in the number of VEGFR-3 immunoreactive peri-tumoural lymphatic vessels in double-transgenic mice compared with single-transgenic mice (data not shown). The extent of this new lymphatic vessel formation was demonstrated dramatically by LYVE-1 immunostaining, which revealed that insulinomas were either partly surrounded by or fully enclosed within such vessels (Figure 7F). Indeed, quantitative analyses confirmed that 62% of insulinomas/islets in double-transgenic animals had closely apposed lymphatics encompassing most or all of the insulinoma/islet circumference (Figures 5, 7B and F). In contrast, only 29% of insulinomas/islets in the Rip1Tag2 mice had associated lymphatics (Figures 5, 7A and E). The lower levels of lymphangiogenesis in the latter tumours correlate with the finding that endogenous VEGF-C was not upregulated during tumourigenesis in Rip1Tag2 single-transgenic mice (Figure 7C and data not shown). In small adenomas of double-transgenic mice, LYVE-1-positive lymphatics surrounded the tumour tissue without penetrating it (Figure 7F). In contrast, in large adenomas and carcinomas, in addition to surrounding the tumours, lymphatics (positive for LYVE-1 and VEGFR-3) were infrequently observed in the peripheral rim of the tumour, but never extended into the body of the tumour itself (data not shown).
It has recently been reported that VEGFR-3, although absent from mature blood vessels, is induced in angiogenic tumour blood vessel endothelium (Partanen et al., 1999; Valtola et al., 1999). We have also observed VEGFR-3-positive intra-tumoural vessels in our system, although a large degree of heterogeneity was observed within individual islet cell tumours: some regions were positive, while other regions in the same tumour were devoid of immunoreactivity (data not shown). Since we have never observed LYVE-1-positive vessels within normal or tumourigenic islets (Figures 3B, D, F, 6B, 7E and F), we are confident that the VEGFR-3-positive intra-islet or intra-tumoural staining we have seen is confined to vessels of the blood vascular system. VEGFR-3-positive blood vessels were observed within tumours in both Rip1Tag2 and RipVEGF-C × Rip1Tag2 double-transgenic mice (data not shown).

Since VEGF-C can be both lymphangiogenic and angiogenic, our next objective was to compare blood vessel density in Rip1Tag2 mice versus RipVEGF-C × Rip1Tag2 double transgenics. Blood vessel density is frequently used as a measure of tumour-induced angiogenesis (reviewed by Weidner, 1998). Using the pan-endothelial marker CD31 (Figure 6C and D), we found no differences between the two genotypes (Table III).

Similarly, no differences were observed when vessel density was determined in the peripheral or central parts of the tumour (Table III). These CD31-positive structures are blood vessels, since we have never observed LYVE-1-positive vessels within tumours (see above). We thus conclude that VEGF-C does not induce angiogenesis in double-transgenic RipVEGF-C × Rip1Tag2 mice.

Further examination of pancreata from RipVEGF-C × Rip1Tag2 double transgenics aged between 12 and 15 weeks revealed aggregates of tumour cells within the lumen of lymphatic vessels in every case (Figure 8 and data not shown). These intra-lymphatic tumour cells, which were very rarely seen in Rip1Tag2 single-transgenic mice, were clearly derived from primary β-cell tumours, as established by their ultrastructural characteristics and insulin immunoreactivity (Figure 8C and data not shown). A proportion of the cells within these aggregates contained mitotic figures (Figure 8A and C), indicating that they were actively dividing. As before, the lymphatic nature of these vessels was confirmed by both LYVE-1 and VEGFR-3 immunoreactivity (Figure 8B and data not shown).

We reasoned that intravascular tumour cells, by following routes of natural drainage, would reach the marginal sinus of pancreatic mesenteric lymph nodes, thus forming...
metastases at these sites. We therefore analysed regional lymph nodes in double-transgenic mice for the presence of tumour cells. Tumour cells were indeed observed in regional mesenteric lymph nodes in 37% of RipVEGF-C3Rip1Tag2 mice (Table II; Figure 9A). In relatively early metastases, which contained a thin rim of tumour cells confined to the periphery of affected lymph nodes, tumour cells displayed ultrastructural features of β-cells or were insulin immunoreactive (Figure 9B and C), thus confirming their metastatic nature and their derivation from primary β-cell tumours. No PP-, somatostatin- or glucagon-immunoreactive cells could be detected in metastases (data not shown). At later stages of metastatic growth, lymph node tissue was almost completely replaced by tumour cells (data not shown). These advanced metastases displayed morphological heterogeneity, including an altered nucleus/cytoplasm ratio and nuclear atypia, both hallmarks of the cellular anaplasia that accompanies the later phases of tumour progression (data not shown). Consistent with these observations, insulin immunoreactivity was only retained by a minority of tumour cells in these metastases (data not shown). At the time points analysed, no overt metastases were observed in distant lymph nodes or in other organs of RipVEGF-C3Rip1Tag2 double-transgenic mice.

Fig. 5. Quantitation of lymphatic vessel density and disposition in wild-type, single- (RipVEGF-C and Rip1Tag2) and double- (RipVEGF-C/Rip1Tag2) transgenic mice. Lymphatic vessels were identified by LYVE-1 immunoreactivity, and the per cent islet/insulinoma perimeter surrounded by LYVE-1-positive structures was determined. For wild type, 185 islets were analysed from five mice; for RipVEGF-C, 99 islets were analysed from five mice; for Rip1Tag2, 232 islets were analysed from seven mice; for RipVEGF-C/Rip1Tag2, 322 islets were analysed from eight mice.

Table I. Blood vascular and lymphatic phenotypes in wild-type versus RipVEGF-C mice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wild type</th>
<th>RipVEGF-C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MECA-32-positive</td>
<td>13.2 ± 3.6</td>
<td>13.6 ± 4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vascular profiles/10000 µm²</td>
<td>(n = 30)</td>
<td>(n = 30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% islet volume occupied by</td>
<td>13.0 ± 3.4</td>
<td>12.9 ± 4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MECA-32-positive blood vessels</td>
<td>(n = 30)</td>
<td>(n = 30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% islets with tightly apposed</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LYVE-1-positive lymphatics</td>
<td>(n = 185)</td>
<td>(n = 99)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For MECA-32 staining, six RipVEGF-C mice and six wild-type littermates were assessed (three mice from family 23 and three from family 24 in each case); five islets were analysed per animal. Values are mean ± SD. For LYVE-1 staining, a total of 185 islets were assessed in five wild-type mice, and 99 islets were assessed in five RipVEGF-C mice.

n = number of islets.

Fig. 4. Ultrastructural analysis of RipVEGF-C transgenic mouse pancreas. (A and B) TEM of the pancreas from a 2-month-old male RipVEGF-C transgenic mouse from family 24. (B) is a higher magnification of the area delimited in (A). Arrows in (B) indicate the basement membrane surrounding a capillary blood vessel (Bv). Note the absence of a basement membrane along the basal surface of the lymphatic endothelium. Arrowheads indicate endothelial fenestrations in the capillary blood vessel. Note the absence of fenestrations in the lymphatic endothelium. (C) Immunoelectron microscopy analysis of LYVE-1 distribution on lymphatic endothelium in the same animal as shown in (A) and (B). Cross-striated collagen fibrils are on the abluminal side of the vessel. Lv, lymphatic vessel; Ex, exocrine tissue; En, endocrine tissue. Bar: (A) 5 µm; (B) 500 nm; (C) 250 nm.
in double-transgenic mice derived from the two founder families (Nos 23 and 24). Thus, we can firmly exclude a transgene integration effect as the reason for the metastatic phenotype.

Discussion
In the present study we have generated transgenic mice to investigate the consequences of VEGF-C overexpression on tumour cell dissemination in a well defined model of β-cell carcinogenesis. Two lines were generated, which express VEGF-C under the control of Rip. Although in post-natal life this promoter specifically directs transgene expression to pancreatic β-cells, during embryogenesis it is transiently active in cells of the neural tube and neural crest (Alpert et al., 1988). In almost all (98%) islets of Langerhans analysed in RipVEGF-C transgenic mice, extensive lymphatic channel formation was observed around (but not within) the islets, the anatomical units in which the transgene is expressed. In contrast, in wild-type littermates, islets are very rarely in direct contact with LYVE-1-positive vessels, indicating that in RipVEGF-C mice lymphatics have formed de novo (lymphangiogenesis). The latter result is consistent with the lymphangiogenic effects of VEGF-C observed in transgenic mice which express VEGF-C in the skin under the control of the keratin 14 promoter (T. Veikkola, unpublished data) and in the differentiated avian chorioallantoic membrane (Oh et al., 1997). K14-VEGF-C mice also display an increase in the size of lymphatic vessels (Jeltsch et al., 1997). The parameters that dictate whether VEGF-C will induce lymphangiogenesis or lymphatic enlargement/dilatation are not known. However, it has been well documented that the activity of many cytokines is context dependent, and it will therefore be important in the future to determine what (additional) factors are involved in determining this selectivity.

To assess the role of VEGF-C-induced lymphangiogenesis in tumour metastasis, we crossed RipVEGF-C mice with Rip1Tag2 mice, a well characterized transgenic model of β-cell carcinogenesis (Hanahan, 1985; Perl et al., 1998, 1999). Of note, β-cell tumours that develop in Rip1Tag2 mice are capable of local invasion but are not metastatic. In our model, increased VEGF-C expression in double transgenics resulted in the de novo formation of lymphatics in intimate association with β-cell tumours. Concomitant with lymphangiogenesis, all of these mice exhibited tumour cell aggregates within lymphatic vessels. This was associated with the formation of metastases in the draining regional mesenteric lymph nodes of the pancreata in 37% of mice. While intra-lymphatic tumour cell masses were occasionally seen in Rip1Tag2 mice, lymph node metastases have never been observed in these animals.

Although the metastatic dissemination of tumour cells to regional lymph nodes is a common feature of many human cancers, it is not clear whether tumours utilize existing lymphatic channels or whether tumour dissemination requires the de novo formation of lymphatics (lymphangiogenesis) (Saaristo et al., 2000; reviewed by
Our findings suggest that Rip1Tag2 tumour cells are intrinsically metastatic, but are unable to realize their metastatic potential because they lack access to appropriate portals and routes to distant sites. When such routes are provided, in this instance via the lymphangiogenesis induced by ectopically expressed VEGF-C, then metastases are observed. The finding that increased peritumoural lymphatic density results in metastasis in only 37% of the mice that develop islet tumours suggests that additional, rate-limiting steps must also exist.

RipVEGF-C × Rip1Tag2 double-transgenic tumours were similar to Rip1Tag2 single-transgenic tumours in terms of tumour volume, transition from adenoma to carcinoma and the relative distribution of pancreatic hormone immunoreactive cells. Interestingly, however, tumour incidence was increased in RipVEGF-C × Rip1Tag2 mice. Although we have no explanation for this increase, we speculate that this could be due to the formation of local intra-pancreatic metastases, which occur as a consequence of tumour cell dissemination through the extensive peritumoural lymphatic network induced by VEGF-C. Alternatively, trophic and/or mitogenic signals released by lymphatic endothelial cells in the nearby parenchyma of islets/tumours might have a positive effect on islet/tumour cell survival and/or proliferation, thus increasing the fraction of islets that form primary tumours.

Table II. Tumour phenotypes and metastasis in Rip1Tag2 versus RipVEGF-C × Rip1Tag2 mice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Rip1Tag2</th>
<th>RipVEGF-C × Rip1Tag2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tumour incidencea</td>
<td>5.4 ± 1.4</td>
<td>12.3 ± 2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(per mouse)</td>
<td>(n = 27)</td>
<td>(n = 33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumour volumeb</td>
<td>56.5 ± 35.8</td>
<td>38.3 ± 29.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(per mouse)</td>
<td>(n = 27)</td>
<td>(n = 33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenoma</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n = 17)</td>
<td>(n = 27)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carcinoma</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n = 17)</td>
<td>(n = 27)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymph node metastasesc</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0/17)</td>
<td>(10/27)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aTumour incidence per mouse was determined macroscopically by counting all apparent tumours >0.5 mm in the whole pancreas. bTumour volume per mouse (in mm³) was calculated from all macroscopically apparent tumours in the whole pancreas, with a diameter >0.5 mm. cAs detected by haematoxylin and eosin staining. Values are mean ± SD; n = number of mice.

Table III. Vascular phenotype in Rip1Tag2 versus RipVEGF-C × Rip1Tag2 mice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Rip1Tag2</th>
<th>RipVEGF-C × Rip1Tag2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CD31-positive vascular profiles/10 000 μm²</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumour periphery</td>
<td>6.6 ± 2.8</td>
<td>4.8 ± 2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n = 30)</td>
<td>(n = 30)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumour centre</td>
<td>3.9 ± 2.5</td>
<td>3.7 ± 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n = 30)</td>
<td>(n = 30)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For CD31 staining, two Rip1Tag2 and three RipVEGF-C × Rip1Tag2 mice were assessed. Ten adenomas were analysed per genotype; vascular profiles were counted in three (~300 × 300 μm) randomly selected peripheral and three central areas per adenoma. Values are mean ± SD; n = number of fields.

RipVEGF-C × Rip1Tag2 double-transgenic tumours were similar to Rip1Tag2 single-transgenic tumours in terms of tumour volume, transition from adenoma to carcinoma and the relative distribution of pancreatic hormone immunoreactive cells. Interestingly, however, tumour incidence was increased in RipVEGF-C × Rip1Tag2 mice. Although we have no explanation for this increase, we speculate that this could be due to the formation of local intra-pancreatic metastases, which occur as a consequence of tumour cell dissemination through the extensive peri-tumoural lymphatic network induced by VEGF-C. Alternatively, trophic and/or mitogenic signals released by lymphatic endothelial cells in the nearby parenchyma of islets/tumours might have a positive effect on islet/tumour cell survival and/or proliferation, thus increasing the fraction of islets that form primary tumours.

In addition to its capacity to induce lymphangiogenesis and an increase in the size of lymphatic vessels, VEGF-C has also been demonstrated to be angiogenic under certain circumstances (Cao et al., 1998; Witzenbichler et al., 1998). However, we found no evidence for increased angiogenesis in RipVEGF-C or RipVEGF-C × Rip1Tag2 double-transgenic mice, as determined by blood vessel density. Thus, there was no increase in the number of MECA-32- or CD31-positive blood vascular profiles.
within RipVEGF-C islets when compared with wild-type littermate controls, nor could we detect alterations in the percentage of islet volume occupied by these vessels (demonstrating that vessel size was likewise unaltered). Similarly, there was no difference in vessel density between Rip1Tag2 versus RipVEGF-C mice, either in the centre of the tumour or in the tumour periphery. We have recently generated Rip1Tag2 × RipVEGF-A double-transgenic mice (manuscript in preparation). Extensive phenotypic characterization of these animals has revealed that VEGF-A has an exclusively angiogenic effect during tumour progression. This observation points to the fact that in the setting of the pancreas, exquisite selectivity with regard to angiogenesis and lymphangiogenesis can be achieved with VEGF-A and VEGF-C, respectively, despite the fact that both cytokines can activate VEGFR-2. Although currently there is no explanation for this selectivity, this may depend on the repertoire of VEGFRs expressed (including heterodimers between different VEGFRs).

VEGFR-3 is downregulated in blood vascular endothelium during development, and becomes restricted almost exclusively to lymphatic endothelium and fenestrated blood vascular endothelium in post-natal life (Kaipainen et al., 1995; Kukk et al., 1996; Jussila et al., 1998; Partanen et al., 2000). It has been shown that VEGFR-3 is re-expressed in the blood vascular endothelium of several types of tumours (Partanen et al., 1999; Valtola et al., 1999). Although we observed a variable degree of VEGFR-3 staining on blood vascular endothelium in Rip1Tag2 tumours, this was not increased in the tumours of double-transgenic RipVEGF-C mice.

It has long been argued that lymphatic vessels may be lost and/or collapsed in expanding primary tumours because of high endogenous tumour interstitial pressure (Leu et al., 2000). However, their presence can be

Fig. 8. Intra-lymphatic tumour cell masses in RipVEGF-C/Rip1Tag2 double-transgenic mice. (A) TEM showing a tumour cell mass within an endothelial-lined lymphatic space. (B) Anti-LYVE-1 immunohistochemistry. (C) Enlargement of the area delimited in (A) showing a mitotic figure and endocrine secretory granules. Mice were killed at 14 weeks and were generated from RipVEGF-C family 23. Arrowheads in (A) indicate mitotic figures. Bars: (A) 20 μm; (B) 50 μm; (C) 2 μm.
demonstrated in the peri-tumoural stromal component intimately associated with several types of tumours. In our system, LYVE-1-positive lymphatics were occasionally observed in the periphery of large adenomas, but were never seen within islets of Langerhans or β-cell tumours. The reasons for this selective localization of lymphatic vessels around but not within normal and tumorigenic islets of Langerhans is not known.

A correlation between VEGF-C expression, tumour lymphangiogenesis and the formation of metastases in regional lymph nodes has recently been described (Bunone et al., 1999; Ohta et al., 1999, 2000; Tsurusaki et al., 1999; Yonemura et al., 1999; Akagi et al., 2000; Niki et al., 2000). Although these findings provide no information on the mechanisms of tumour cell dissemination, they raise the possibility that VEGF-C may increase metastasis by increasing the number and size of lymphatic vessels, or alternatively by altering the functional properties of existing lymphatics. For example, it is possible that lymphatic endothelial cells locally release paracrine factors, which influence primary tumour cell invasiveness, possibly through altered tumour cell–extracellular matrix adhesion. Alternatively, VEGF-C may facilitate tumour cell intravasation by altering the functional properties of pre-existing or newly formed lymphatic endothelium (e.g. tumour cell–endothelial cell adhesion). Future studies in this area will need to be conducted on cultured lymphatic endothelial cells. Although to date all in vitro studies have been performed on large-vessel lymphatic endothelial cells (reviewed by Pepper, 2001), it will be important in the future to work with primary endothelial cells from lymphatic capillaries. These cells would be useful (i) for the identification of VEGF-C-induced phenotypic alterations (e.g. molecules involved in adhesive interactions with tumour cells) and (ii) for application of techniques of differential gene expression to identify molecular differences between blood and lymphatic capillary endothelial cells. The utility of these techniques in identifying gene expression profiles in normal versus tumour endothelial cells has recently been demonstrated (St Croix et al., 2000).

Tumour cell metastasis to regional lymph nodes is an early event in metastatic tumour spread, and is frequently used as a prognostic factor to predict disease outcome or to determine therapeutic strategies. Our observations provide the first direct evidence for a causal role for VEGF-C-mediated lymphangiogenesis in the dissemination of tumour cells. They also provide a relevant animal model in which the mechanisms of lymphangiogenesis and lymphatic tumour metastasis can be dissected, and in which potential inhibitors of these processes can be tested.

**Materials and methods**

**Transgenic mice**

RipVEGF-C transgenic mice were generated according to standard procedures (Hogan et al., 1994). The transgene was constructed by cloning the human VEGF-C cDNA between the −495 bp BamHI–XbaI fragment of Rip (Hanahan, 1985) and the SV40 small T antigen intron and polyadenylation signal. Genotypes were confirmed by Southern blotting and PCR analysis. Ten micrograms of genomic DNA from mouse tails...
were digested with EcoRI, run in 0.7% agarose gels and analysed by Southern blotting using the −450 bp SV40 moiety of the RipVEGF-C transgene as a probe. Routine PCR screening of RipVEGF-C heterozygotes was performed using a pair of primers specific for the human VEGF-C cDNA (forward: 5′-TCCGGACTGCACTCTTGGCAG; reverse: 5′-CCTCACCTATACACACCTCC), starting from standard tail of the DNA preparation. PCR cycles were: 3 min (1×), 94°C, 1 min, 60°C, 1 min, 72°C, 1 min (30× for VEGF-C; 25–30× for Po); 72°C, 3 min (1×). Equal volumes of PCR products were analysed on 6% polyacrylamide gels.

Expression of the RipVEGF-C transgene was assessed by RT–PCR using primers specific for the human VEGF-C cDNA, and by immunohistochemical analysis as described below. Total RNA purified from mouse pancreas using TRIZOL Reagent (Gibco-BRL, Life Technologies) was reverse transcribed using oligo-dT (Boehringer) and SuperScript II (Life Technologies). RT products were subjected to PCR analysis using a pair of primers specific for human VEGF-C (see above) or a pair of primers for the acidic ribosomal phosphoprotein P0 (Pepper and Mandriota, 1998). Where indicated, RT was omitted. PCR cycles were: 94°C, 3 min (1×); 94°C, 1 min, 60°C, 1 min, 72°C, 1 min (30× for VEGF-C; 25–30× for Po); 72°C, 3 min (1×). Equal volumes of PCR products were analysed on 6% polyacrylamide gels.

The generation and phenotypic characterization of Rip1Tag2 mice have been described previously (Hanahan, 1985). Glucose (5% w/v) was added to the drinking water of all tumour-bearing mice, beginning at 10 weeks of age, to counteract hypoglycaemia, which results from insulinoma development.

**Histopathological analyses**

For light microscopy, pancreata were either frozen or fixed in Bouin’s fixative or 4% paraformaldehyde, and embedded in paraffin. Antibodies used for immunohistochemistry were as follows: mouse monoclonal anti-human insulin (Storch et al., 1985); rabbit polyclonal anti-human VEGF-C (Joukov et al., 1997); rabbit polyclonal anti-human VEGF-R3 (Pajusola et al., 1993); rat monoclonal anti-mouse VEGF-R3 (Kubo et al., 2000); MECA-32 (Hallmann et al., 1995); rat monoclonal anti-mouse CD31 (clone MEC13.3; Pharmingen); rabbit polyclonal anti-mouse LYVE-1 ectodomain. The latter was generated by immunizing rabbits with the mouse LYVE-1 ectodomain fused with human IgG followed by pre-absorption of the antiserum on human Ig±Sepharose (Prevo et al., 2001). MECA-32 was applied to cryostat sections. All other antibodies were applied to paraffin-embedded material following antigen retrieval (microwave), with the exception of MEC13.3, for which no antigen retrieval was used. Immunoreactivity was visualized using either peroxidase–DAAB or fast red- or fluorescein isothiocyanate-conjugated streptavidin or secondary antibodies. For CD31 immunostaining, antigen–antibody complexes were revealed by means of a rabbit anti-rat linker antibody (Dako) followed by anti-rabbit/HRP Envision system (Dako).

For determination of blood vessel density, the number of MECA-32- or LYVE-1-positive vascular profiles per 10 000 rat linker antibody (Dako) followed by anti-rabbit/HRP Envision system (Dako).
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**Note added in proof**

The reader is referred to the following two papers, which, using VEGF-C- and VEGF-D-transfected tumour cells in xenotransplantation models, obtained findings comparable to those described in the present paper:
